1	Nevada Bar No. 9000	
2	WOLFE & WYMAN LLP	
3	980 Kelly Johnson Drive, Suite 140 Las Vegas, NV 89119	
4	cbdodrill@wolfewyman.com Tel: (702) 476-0100	
5	Fax: (702) 476-0101	
6	Attorneys for Defendant CTX Mortgage Company, LLC and Kevin Gillespie.	
7	•	
8	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
10	TINA SPANN-NEWELL, an individual	CASE NO.: 2:10-cv-
11	·	CASE NO.: 2.10-cv-
12	Plaintiff, v.	o.p.p.
13	RALPH LENZI, and/or his successor, individually,	ORD MOTION TO DISM
14	and in his official capacity as PRES/CEO OF AURORA LOAN SERVICES, LLC, an ens legis being used to conceal fraud,;	
15	KEVIN GILLESPIE/ MANAGER and/or his	
16 17	successor, individually, and in his official capacity as PRES/CEO of CTX MORTGAGE COMPANY, LLC, an ens legis being used to conceal fraud,;	
18	WELLINGTON PAYANO and/or his successor,	
	individually, and in his official capacity as,	
19	AGENT OF RECONTRUST COMPANY, N.A., an ens legis being used to conceal fraud,;	
20 21	R.K. ARNOLD, and/or his successor, individually, and in his official capacity as, PRES/CEO OF	
22	MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC, an ens legis being used to conceal	
23	fraud,;	
24	MICHAEL J. WILLIAMS and/or his successor, individually, and in his official capacity as,	
25	PRES/CEO OF FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION (FANNIE MAE), an ens legis being used to conceal fraud,;	
26		
27	AND JOHN DOES (Investors) 1-10,000, et al,	
28	Defendants.	

RICT OF NEVADA

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO DISMISS

CASE NO.: 2:10-cv-01293-KJD-LRL

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

KEVIN GILLESPIE ("Gillespie") and CTX MORTGAGE COMPANY LLC ("CTX"
collectively hereinafter "Defendants"), by and through their attorneys, Wolfe & Wyman LLP, filed
a Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint (Docket No. 4) on August 4, 2010. The Docket Report
indicates that a Response to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss was due by August 21, 2010.

Defendants, AURORA LOAN SERVICES, LLC ("Aurora"), RALPH LENZI, MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC. ("MERS") and R.K. ARNOLD filed a Joinder (Docket No. 8) on August 10, 2010.

Defendant MICHAEL J. WILLIAMS and Non-party FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION (FANNIE MAE) filed a Joinder (Docket No. 14) on August 27, 2010.

Plaintiff, TINA SPANN-NEWELL, has failed to file a response to the Motion to Dismiss and Joinders thereto.

Defendant MICHAEL J. WILLIAMS and Non-party FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION (FANNIE MAE) filed a Notice of Non-Response (Docket No. 21) on September 17, 2010.

The Court having considering the moving papers, its own files, and good cause appearing, FINDS as follows:

- 1. Pursuant to Local Rule 7-2(b), any Response and/or Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss was required to be filed with the Court and served within fourteen (14) days after service of the Motion. No Response and/or Opposition has been submitted to the Court or served on opposing party within the prescribed timeline. Pursuant to Local Rule 7-2(d), the failure of an opposing party to file Points and Authority in response to any motion shall constitute consent to the granting of the motion.
- The Court may grant the Motion to Dismiss without a hearing where a Local Rule provides another party who has failed to timely file an Opposition is deemed to have waived any objection to the Motion. Eaton v. Reno, 216 F.3d 1082, 1082 (9th Cir. 2000).
- 3. The Court may grant the Motion to Dismiss for failure to follow local rules if these five factors weigh in favor of dismissal: "(1) the public's interest in expeditious resolution of litigation; (2) the court's need to manage its docket; (3) the risk of prejudice to the defendants, (4) the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

public policy favoring disposition of cases of their merits; and (5) the availability of less drastic sanctions." Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995).

- The Court finds that the five Ghazali factors weigh in favor of dismissal. 4.
- The Court has reviewed Defendant's Motions, and finds them to have merit. 5.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, that based on the foregoing, Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint and all joinders thereto are hereby GRANTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Complaint is DISMISSED with prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED on this 24th day of February , 2010.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Submitted by: WOLFE & WYMAN LLP

By: Is | Colt B. Dodrill

COLT B. DODRILL, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 9000 980 Kelly Johnson Drive, Suite 140 Las Vegas, NV 89119 Phone (702) 476-0100 Fax (702) 476-0101

Attorneys for Defendant CTX Mortgage Company, LLC **Kevin Gillespie**

V:\CTX Mortgage (1203)\051 (spann-newell)\Pleadings\Proposed Order Granting Motion to Dismiss.doc